Applicant(s)

## Interview Summary

Application No.

8/99,29 Pro/eta/

Examiner Art Unit

AKERS

2164

| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  |
|---|
| (1) MR. POOL (Applicant) (3) Mr. CAGERMAN (GHNG)  |
| (2) AR. SUNSTEIN (ATTN)) (4) MR GUPTA (ATTNG)   |
|   |
| Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]   |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) A Yes e'. No. If yes, brief description:  AFFIRAVITE OF POOL & BIGSDEL EXECUTE 1/3/02  |
| Claim(s) discussed:   |
| Identification of prior art discussed:  Schell of CAHN (ApplieD IN REJECTION)  L) 1966 GUIDE TO INTENTERMS (INCO)  Agreement with respect to the claims fill was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.   |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:  |
| Applicant angued that EWE OF CRDINARY Skill in the GUT Would MNDERSTAND That I' COMMORCIA I MUDICE" IN AN INTERNATIONAL TRADE TRAVILIZACION WOURD HAVE the MEARING EXPRESSED IN POOLS AFFIDAUT (EXECUPED ). The Examiner DID NOT GEOGRET THIS CAUSE OF REASONING: Applicant (MN. POOL) & MIR (AGORMAN) CATTURY BOTH STATED That They KNEW NO ONE PRACTIONING Applicants MUENTION. A PROPOSED Claim 21 was DRAFTED (attached) and was agreed that Such a claim would be allowable. It was agreed that the Limitation "Yourning a Transaction Program on a Computing System 30 As TO INTEGRATIC PROCESSES" The PRIOR ART ON A COMPUTING SYSTEM PROPOSED Claimed Process with This CIMITATION. |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  |
| i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).  |
| Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached  |
|   |

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required